



#5
88
12/17/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Sharon Johnson)
Serial No.: 09/881,462)
Filed: 6/14/01) Group Art Unit 3637
For: A STUDENT WORK STATION) Examiner Jose V. Chen

FIRST RESPONSE

Box Amendment/No-Fee
Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2002
GROUP 3600

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of July 29, 2002, please consider the following.

REMARKS

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections

Claims 1-4 and 6-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King (U.S. Patent 189,749). As noted by the examiner, the patent to King teaches structure as claimed including elevated desk with a work surface (A), storage surface (B), base (B2), and peripheral wall(B1).

It is well settled that in order to anticipate a claim for a patent and render it invalid, a single prior art reference must contain each and every element of the claimed invention. *Hybritech Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc.* 802 F.2d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Moreover, an anticipation rejection